

TRUMP LOST THE ELECTION ENTIRELY BECAUSE HE DID NOT SHUT DOWN GOOGLE AND FACEBOOK

TRUMP WAS WARNED THAT GOOGLE AND FACEBOOK CARTELS WERE BALLOT HARVESTING, RIGGING ELECTION RESULTS AND MANIPULATING GLOBAL SOCIAL IMPRESSIONS BUT HE NEVER SHUT THEM DOWN.

NOW HE HAS PAID THE PRICE

Post-election lawsuits accuse a group funded by Facebook CEO [Mark Zuckerberg](#) of helping violate the constitution in key [battleground states](#).

Zuckerberg and his wife, Priscilla Chan, committed \$400 million dollars to the Center for Tech and Civic Life. The nonprofit gave money to over 2,500 local election offices across the country.

According to lawsuits filed by the Thomas More Foundation's Amistad Project, the group gave over \$6 million dollars to officials in Fulton County, Georgia, and to five cities in Wisconsin.

The Wisconsin filing says state law doesn't allow cities and counties to take in millions of dollars from a wealthy partisan actor, like Zuckerberg.

The Georgia filing says the money was used to pay "ballot harvesters" and political activists to manage ballots. It also said the money was used to consolidate counting centers in urban areas to move "hundreds of thousands of questionable ballots in secrecy without legally required bi-partisan observation."

Amistad Project Director Phill Kline told The Epoch Times that in Pennsylvania, the money led to a two-tiered system. He said Democrat strongholds allowed voters to fix ballots, against state law. But Republican areas did not because they refused to lie and because they didn't have resources or Zuckerberg funding.

Kline said the center funded ballot boxes that were densely placed in a Philadelphia county—but few were sent to counties that Trump won. He also said they consolidated polling places in a way that disenfranchised Republican strongholds—violating the Equal Protection Clause.

Kline says similar issues came up in other states, including Michigan.

In his opening monologue last night, Tucker Carlson talked about how big tech companies like Google, Facebook, and Twitter affected the outcome of the 2020 election.

He suggested that they acted on behalf of just one party, the Democrats.

As an example, just look at Twitter's suspension of the New York Post over their reports on Hunter Biden just before the election.

To expand on this point, Tucker brought on Dr. Robert Epstein, a Democrat who has been following the influence of big tech on American politics.

Last year, Epstein predicted on Tucker's show that big tech companies like Google could shift up to 15 million votes.

Watch the whole segment below:

No honest person can say that the 2020 election was fair.

It was RIGGED – and we caught them! pic.twitter.com/cLHJ8o7UeS

— Team Trump (@TeamTrump) November 24, 2020

In June of 2019, Robert Epstein testified before the Senate Judiciary Committee about Google's power and influence. Here's an excerpt of his testimony:

I am Dr. Robert Epstein, the proud father of five children, a resident of California, and Senior Research Psychologist at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology. I love America and democracy, and I am also not a conservative. I have been center/center-left my whole adult life. You'll see in moment why this fact is relevant to my testimony.

I am here today for three reasons: to explain why Google presents a serious threat to democracy and human autonomy, to explain how passive monitoring systems can protect us both now and in the future from companies like Google, and to tell you how Congress can immediately end Google's worldwide monopoly on search. My plan for ending that monopoly was published just yesterday (Monday, July 15, 2019) by Bloomberg Businessweek (Epstein, 2019d). I am attaching a copy of my article to my testimony and respectfully request that it be entered into the Congressional Record.

This is a concrete example Epstein offered to illustrate one of the ways Google uses its influence:

In the weeks leading up to the 2018 election, bias in Google's search results may have shifted upwards of 78.2 million votes to the candidates of one political party (spread across hundreds of local

and regional races). This number is based on data captured by my 2018 monitoring system, which preserved more than 47,000 election-related searches on Google, Bing, and Yahoo, along with the nearly 400,000 web pages to which the search results linked. Strong political bias toward one party was evident, once again, in Google searches (Epstein & Williams, 2019).

This will continue to be a problem until something is actually done about it. Hauling Mark Zuckerberg and Jack Dorsey before Congress for public scoldings is not cutting it.